Chemical Physics 163 (1992) 193–208 North-Holland

Acetone, a laser-induced fluorescence study with rotational resolution at 320 nm

Hanna Zuckermann, Yehuda Haas

Department of Physical Chemistry and The Farkas Center for Light Induced Processes. The Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

Marcel Drabbels, Johannes Heinze, W. Leo Meerts, Joerg Reuss

Molecular and Laser Physics, University of Nijmegen, Toernooiveld, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands

and

John van Bladel

Department of Theoretical Chemistry, University of Nijmegen, Toernooiveld, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Received 1 March 1992

The forbidden $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$ transition of acetone has been investigated by laser-induced fluorescence measurements with a resolution of 270 MHz. The rotational structure demonstrates, that (1) one deals with a-type transitions and (11) there is a strong coupling between the torsional motion of the two CH₃ groups and the tunneling, out-of-plane wagging motion (ν_{23}) of acetone. The interpretation of torsion-vibrational combination bands is less conclusive and thus the discussion still has a preliminary character.

1. Introduction

Formaldehyde (H₂CO) exhibits a lowest energy ${}^{1}n\pi^{*}$ transition that is strictly forbidden in C_{2v} and is extremely weak, $f \approx 10^{-3}$. The observed intensity is vibronically induced. The C=O out-of-plane wagging motion (ν_4) is the b₁ vibration that makes the A₂ \leftarrow A₁ transition allowed, via intensity borrowing from the B₂(n, σ^{*}) higher lying state [1,2]. Proof of this mechanism was provided by the observation of a-type rovibronic structure in the high resolution electronic spectrum of H₂CO [3].

A similar mechanism was expected to apply to acetone, $(CH_3)_2CO$, where $A_2 \leftarrow A_1$ transition is also very weak, $f \approx 4 \times 10^{-4}$ [4]. But Baba, Hanazaki and Nagashima (BHN) [5], and Zuckermann et al. [6,7], in studies of the low resolution fluorescence excitation spectrum of acetone, concluded that many of the bands are c-type. Therefore, it appeared that the vibronic coupling mechanism responsible for the observed intensity in the $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$ transition of acetone is different from that in formaldehyde.

The previous experiments on acetone were performed at a resolution of 0.2 cm⁻¹. Now, contour analysis of weak transitions is potentially subject to errors owing to fluctuations in laser intensity and to mode hopping within the 0.2 cm⁻¹ bandwidth. For this reason, we have re-examined the $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$ spectrum of acetone at high resolution, 0.01 cm⁻¹. We find that the low lying bands are all pure a-type, a result that is consistent with the earlier results on formaldehyde. Additionally, for the lowest vibrational S_1 level we find a constant threefold splitting of each rotational line. (More complex splitting patterns are observed in higher energy bands.) We show in this paper that these splittings have their origin in the methyl group torsional motion.

In addition to the torsional motion we concern ourselves here also with other low frequency vibrations of acetone, in the S_1 state. Table 1 summarizes the available data on the five lowest frequency ground

Symm. species (C _{2v})	No.	Description	Frequency (cm ⁻¹)	Ref.	Comments
	<i>ν</i> ₁₂	"antigearing" torsion	77	[8]	
b	ν_{24}	"gearing" torsion	124	[9]	
a ₁	ν_8	C-C-C bending	385	[10,11]	ref. [12] reversed the assignment of v_8 and v_{23} but ref. [13] reconfirms it
b,	ν_{23}	C=O out-of-plane wagging	484	[12]	in ref. [12] this is b_2
b ₂	ν_{19}	C=O in-plane wagging	530	[11]	in ref. [12] this is b_1

 Table 1

 Low frequency vibrational modes of acetone in the ground electronic state

state modes. The inertial axes and the torsional angles are shown in fig. 1. The two torsional fundamentals have been assigned only recently, and fitted to a hindered two rotor potential [14]. The assignment of the 385 cm⁻¹ vibration to the C–C–C bend and the 484 cm⁻¹ vibration to the C=O out-of-plane wagging motion has been controversial [10–13].

The ground state torsional potential has been calculated by several authors [15-18] in an attempt to account for the microwave spectrum. The ν_{12} vibration, which is infrared inactive and very weakly Raman active, has been observed by two-photon jet spectroscopy involving Rydberg transitions [8], leading to a revised calculation [14], in which complete geometry optimization for each conformer was allowed. This calculation, using only four terms in the torsional potential (V_3 , V_{33} , V'_{33} , and V_6) led to good agreement with the experimental results, and to an



Fig. 1. Schematic presentation of the structure of acetone.

effective torsional barrier $(V_{\text{eff}} = V_3 - V_{33})$ of 240 cm⁻¹, somewhat lower than previously determined barrier [15,16] of 270 cm⁻¹.

In the $A_2(n\pi^*)$ state the ν_{23} vibration is described by a double well potential and thus subject to tunnel splitting, in contrast to the ground state case. A complete analysis of the spectrum thus involves two multiple-well potentials that may lead to tunnel splitting: that of the torsion (3²-fold) and of the C=O out-ofplane wagging motion (2-fold). It turns out that some interaction between these two modes is required to account for the rotational fine structure in certain bands. In all bands, the ν_{23} mode is involved via at least one of its tunnel split levels.

2. Experimental

Narrow bandwidth radiation with a high peak power is obtained by pulsed amplification of radiation from a cw ring dye laser. The ring dye laser (Spectra Physics, 380D) is pumped by 6 W of an argon ion laser (Spectra Physics, 2045-15) and operates on DCM dye (620-660 nm). The bandwidth of the ring dye laser is less than 0.5 MHz and the output power is typically 400 mW. This radiation is amplified by a home-built four-stage pulsed dye amplifier (PDA) system similar to the system described by Cromwell et al. [19]. A frequency doubled Qswitched Nd: YAG laser (Quantel YG 681C-10) with a pulse length of 5 ns and a pulse energy of 550 mJ pumps the PDA system. The pulse energy of the amplified laser beam is 100 mJ. The bandwidth, which is merely determined by the Fourier limit of the pulsed pumped laser is measured with a 300 MHz Fabry-Perot interferometer and amounts to 135 MHz. This light is then frequency doubled in a KDP crystal. The UV beam (310-330 nm) is separated from the fundamental beam by a Pellin Broca prism. The pulse energy of the UV light is 20 mJ. For absolute frequency calibration the iodine absorption spectrum [20] is recorded. For relative frequency calibration the transmission fringes of a temperature stabilized Fabry-Perot interferometer with a free spectral range of 598.82 MHz are recorded.

A molecular beam of acetone is formed by expanding a 5% mixture of acetone in argon through a modified electromagnetic fuel injector valve (Bosch) with an orifice diameter of 1 mm into a vacuum chamber. During operation the background pressure in the vacuum chamber is 5×10^{-5} Torr. The commercially obtained acetone (Merck 99.5%) is used without further purification. The stagnation pressure used during the experiments is 2 atm.

The laser beam with a diameter of 3 mm crosses the molecular beam 45 mm downstream the nozzle. The laser induced fluorescence is collected by a quartz lens system and imaged onto the photocathode of a photomultiplier (EMI 9863B). To reduce the scattered laser light a Schott KV 370 glass filter is placed in front of the photomultiplier. The fluorescence signal is processed by a digital oscilloscope (LeCroy 7400) and a boxcar integrator (SRS 250) interfaced with a PDP 11/23 computer.

3. Spectroscopic results and analysis

We have studied at high resolution the first 14 bands reported by BHN. figs. 2-4 show the fluorescence excitation spectra of some bands with their tunnel splitting fine structure. Those are the bands where a satisfactory fit to an asymmetric rotor Hamiltonian could be obtained. In table 2 we summarize the main features of the bands, using BHN's line numbering system. Three main conclusions may be drawn from the results:

(i) All transitions are a-type, namely polarized in the molecular plane, perpendicular to the C=O bond. (In C_{2v} , this polarization belongs to the B_2 irreducible representation.)

(ii) The largest change of the inertial moment on excitation to S_1 is with respect to the *b* axis, i.e. along



Fig. 2. Lower panel: the high resolution spectrum of line 1, the band origin indicated in the figure is calculated for the transitions of G-symmetry, of which some are shown in the upper panel. Upper panel: measured splittings (in GHz) and proposed assignments of the fine structure. The splittings, shown for some multiplets only, hold for the entire spectrum.

the C=O bond, as expressed by the relatively large change in the B rotational constant. This is indeed expected if the excited state is non-planar, as in formaldehyde, with the central carbon atom becoming the apex of a pyramid whose base is formed by the two other carbon atoms and the oxygen atom.

(iii) Each of the rotational lines is split into a multiplet.

3.1. Analysis of the 0^0_0 band

The lowest frequency transition observed (line 1, table 2) was assigned by BHN as the 0_0^0 band. We



Fig. 3. As in fig. 2, for line 2. The G^{\dagger} -transitions served to determine the band origin.

have studied it with a resolution of 270 MHz, and fig. 2 shows the fluorescence excitation spectrum. Table 3 lists the observed transitions and their assignments. Within the experimental error of 50 MHz every observed rotational line in the spectrum could be fit to the asymmetric rotor Hamiltonian. The observed triplet splitting remains constant for all rotational quantum numbers and amounts to 2.3 GHz. This splitting arises from either (or both) tunnel splittings expected in this system: the one due to the three-fold potential of the CH₃ torsional motion, and the one due to the double well potential of the C=O out-of-plane wagging. The first is expected to be present in both S₀ and S₁, and the latter only in S₁.

Since the transition is pure a-type, we can determine the symmetry species of the excited state. We shall begin by assuming that the system can be dis-



Fig. 4. (a) As in fig. 2, upper panel, for line 4. The symmetry species of the quintets have not been assigned. (b) As in fig. 2, upper panel, for line 5. The symmetry species of the quintets have not been assigned. (c) As in fig. 2, upper panel, for line 6. The symmetry species of the quintets have not been assigned. (d) As in fig. 2, upper panel, for line 7. A triplet structure has been found.

cussed within the C_{2v} point group as far as the $n\pi^*$ transition is concerned. The main justification for this assumption is the fact that the $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$ oscillator strength in acetone is very small, similar to that of formaldehyde. The physical reason is that in S_0 the local symmetry of the C=O bond is close enough to

Line ^{a)}	Frequency ^b	Type ^c)	Rotational const	Fine structure		
	(cm ⁻)		ΔA	ΔB	ΔC	
1	0	a	60.2(7.1)	-341.9(3.4)	-14.4(1.1)	triplet
2	172.6244	а	78.8(5.7)	-351.5(2.8)	10.9(0.6)	quintet
3	not observed hot band					-
4	314.5463	а	68.1(7.5)	-362.9(2.7)	-29.7(1.2)	quintet
5	333.5593	а	87.4(6.2)	-371.3(3.0)	-32.0(0.3)	quintet
6	346.3927	а	23.8(8.9)	-381.9(5.2)	-23.8(1.2)	quintet
7	373	а				triplet
8	473.4	а				>quintet ^e)
	474.4	а				
9	486.7	а				quintet e.f.)
10	509.7275	а	42.4(6.1)	-437.4(16)	-23.9(1.8)	
	510.1171	а	139.97(9.8)	-525.4(6.7)	-20.5(1.3)	e)
	510.3715	a	53.44(8.0)	-408.9(6.9)	-37.1(0.6)	
11	544					
12	578					
13a	621.76	a	107.8(11)	-303.7(7.2)	-40.9(0.6)	
13b	624					quintet e.g.
14	645					-

Table 2		
Main experimental	results for the	vibronic bands

^{a)} The numbering system is the same as used by BHN (ref. [1]).

^{b)} Frequencies above the 0_0^0 transition, $\nu_0 = 30439.9145$ cm⁻¹; ν_0 was used in calculation for fitted lines only; other frequencies are estimated centers of bands.

^{c)} Using the axis convention of scheme I.

^{d)} Listed are the changes in the three principal rotational constants with respect to the ground state constants, which are (MHz): A = 10165.6, B = 8514.9, C = 4910.2. The standard deviation of the fit is given in the parentheses.

e) Analysis in progress.

^{f)} Five components are observed with approximate relative shifts of 20, 9, 13 and 9 GHz.

⁸⁾ Five components are observed with approximate relative shifts of 16, 8, 4 and 9 GHz.

 C_{2v} , so that C_{2v} selection rules hold almost rigorously. Furthermore, the fact that the two pyramidal forms of S₁ are interconverting by tunneling motion, means that C_{2v} should be used rather than C_S . As we shall see, predictions based on those rules agree well with experimental observations.

In the jet experiments, all transitions are assumed to originate from the vibrational ground state, which is A₁. The $n\pi^*$ electronic state transforms as A₂. We write the transition dipole moment as

$$\mu_{\rm fi} = \langle \Psi_{\rm ev}' | \hat{\mu} | \Psi_{\rm cv}' \rangle , \qquad (1)$$

where Ψ'_{ev} and Ψ''_{ev} are vibronic wavefunctions of the upper and lower vibronic states, respectively, and require that μ_{fi} is of A₁ symmetry. The direct product of the irreducible representations (irreps) of the terms appearing in (1) is

$$A_{1} = \Gamma(\Psi_{e}') \otimes \Gamma(\hat{\mu}) \otimes \Gamma(\Psi_{e}'') \otimes \Gamma(X_{v}') \otimes \Gamma(X_{v}'')$$
$$= A_{2} \otimes B_{2} \otimes A_{1} \otimes \Gamma(X_{v}') \otimes A_{1} = B_{1} \otimes \Gamma(X_{v}')$$
(2)

 $(A_3 \otimes A_4 \otimes A_1 \otimes \Gamma(X'_v) \otimes A_1 = A_2 \otimes \Gamma(X'_v)$ in G₃₆, see below) meaning that the vibrational wavefunction of S₁ must transform as B₁ in order to make the transition allowed; the real zero point vibrational wavefunction is totally symmetric (A₁). A transition to this lowest state is therefore forbidden. The first observed transition will be to a low vibrational level of B₁ symmetry, which e.g. might arise from tunnel splitting of one of the multiple well motions.

We start the theoretical analysis of the torsional vibrations with the assumption that interactions between torsion vibrations and other normal vibrations of the molecule can be neglected. In this case, it is Table 3

Observed and calculated rovibronic frequencies of the $S_1 \leftarrow S_0 0_0^0$ vibronic transition relative to the center frequency $\nu_0 = 30439.9145$ cm⁻¹

Calculated frequency (MHz)	Observed frequency (MHz)	Obs. – calc. (MHz)	J''	<i>K</i> ″ ₋₁	<i>K</i> ″	J'	<i>K</i> ′ ₋₁	K'_1
-54556	- 54557	- 1	5	0	5	4	0	4
- 54527	- 54557	- 30	5	1	5	4	1	4
- 55421	- 55461	-40	4	1	3	3	1	2
- 52852	- 52881	-29	4	2	3	3	2	2
-47180	-47219	- 39	3	2	1	2	2	0
-44525	-44462	63	3	1	2	2	1	1
-40435	-40426	9	3	2	2	2	2	1
- 34645	-34616	29	3	0	3	2	0	2
-34021	- 33957	64	3	1	3	2	1	2
- 30768	- 30709	59	2	1	1	1	1	0
-24829	-24842	-13	2	0	2	1	0	1
-23228	-23264	- 36	2	1	2	1	1	1
-13440	-13458	-18	1	0	1	0	0	0
13083	13042	-41	0	0	0	1	0	1
22933	22891	-42	1	1	1	2	1	2
23877	23792	-85	1	0	1	2	0	2
29164	29209	45	1	1	0	2	1	1
32991	33087	96	2	1	2	3	1	3
33277	33292	15	2	0	2	3	0	3
39134	39160	26	2	2	1	3	2	2
40975	40969	- 6	2	1	1	3	1	2
42702	42655	- 47	3	0	3	4	0	4
42653	42655	2	3	1	3	4	1	4
44037	44007	- 30	2	2	0	3	2	1
49508	49521	13	3	2	2	4	2	3
50520	50533	13	3	1	2	4	1	3
52193	52202	9	4	0	4	5	0	5
52186	52202	16	4	1	4	5	1	5
61673	61666	- 7	5	1	5	6	1	6
61674	61666	- 8	5	0	5	6	0	6

sufficient to consider only the following terms of the Hamiltonian [15]:

$$H = H_{\rm R} + H_{\rm T_1} + H_{\rm T_2} + H_{\rm T_1T_2} + H_{\rm RT} \,. \tag{3}$$

The terms $H_{\rm R}$ and $H_{\rm T_i}$ (i=1, 2) stand for pure rotation and torsion vibration of top i, respectively; $H_{\rm T_1T_2}$ describes the interaction between the two CH₃ tops and $H_{\rm RT}$ describes the interaction between overall rotation and the torsion vibrations. In the analysis of our spectra we will ignore the $H_{\rm RT}$ interaction term so that the rotational energy levels are merely superimposed on the energy levels of the torsion vibrations. This is permitted since in our measurements, the triplet splitting remains constant for all rotational quantum numbers. The torsional Hamiltonian consists, then, of three parts:

$$H_{T_{k}} = FP_{\alpha_{k}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} [V_{3}(1 - \cos 3\alpha_{k}) + V_{6}(1 - \cos 6\alpha_{k})],$$

$$k = 1, 2,$$

$$H_{T_{1}T_{2}} = F'P_{\alpha_{1}}P_{\alpha_{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \{V_{+}[1 - \cos(3\alpha_{1} + 3\alpha_{2})] + V_{-}[1 - \cos(3\alpha_{1} - 3\alpha_{2})] + ...\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \quad (4)$$

where H_{T_k} describes the motion of the k th methyl group with momentum $P_{\alpha k}$ conjugated to the torsional angle α_k . The parameters V_3 and V_6 describe the frame-top interaction, whereas we call V_+ and V_- the antigeared and geared top-top interactions. F and F' are determined by the molecular geometry only. Note that there is a simple correspondence between our notation and that used in refs. [14,15,21]: $V_{+} = \frac{1}{2}(V'_{33} - V_{33})$ and $V_{-} = -\frac{1}{2}(V'_{33} + V_{33})$.

Since the torsional barrier in S₀ is relatively low (about 250 cm^{-1} [15,16], we cannot neglect the splitting caused by tunnelling. Thus, a complete analysis of the spectrum requires the use of the G₃₆ molecular symmetry group for the ground state, instead of C_{2v} . To C_s symmetry of a pyramidal geometry, corresponds the symmetry group of G₁₈ [22]. However, the finite inversion barrier, as will be shown later, requires actually the use of G_{36} also for the S_1 surface. The correlation between $C_{2\nu}$ and G_{36} is reproduced in table 4, as taken from Bunker [23,24]. In the high-barrier limit, each torsional wavefunction associated with a given rotational level is ninefold degenerate. As the barrier height is lowered, this degenerate level is split into a non-degenerate A_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, i\}$..., 4} level, two doubly degenerate E_i , $i \in \{1, ..., 4\}$ levels and a fourfold degenerate G level. If the $H_{T_1T_2}$ interaction term is also neglected, the two E, states are degenerate so that torsional triplets are obtained. All low lying torsional levels actually possess this triplet structure, for reasonable assumptions concerning the torsional potential including also an $H_{T_1T_2}$ term.

Fig. 5 shows the fine structure for the (pseudo) 0_0^0 electronic transition. The rule according to which the allowed transitions were determined is that the initial overall vibrational wavefunctions (A₁, E₁, E₃, G) should transform into (A₂, E₁, E₄, G) i.e. A \leftrightarrow A, E \leftrightarrow E, G \leftrightarrow G. The direct product of the irreps of the vibrational wavefunctions ($\Gamma(X_v)$) transforms as $\Gamma(\text{tor$ $sion}) \otimes \Gamma(\text{wag})$, since all other vibrational modes are in their ground state. Therefore, it is the second inversion-tunnelling component ($\Gamma(\text{wag}) = A_2(G_{36})$) that is responsible for the observation of line 1 whereas the fine structure mainly reflects the torsiontunnel-splitting in the ground torsional state. The

Table 4

Correlations of rotorvibrational levels of acetone. Spin statistical weights are given in parentheses

C_{2v} level	G ₃₆ sublevels
$A_1(28)$	$A_1(6) + E_1(4) + E_3(2) + G(16)$
$A_2(28)$	$A_3(6) + E_2(4) + E_3(2) + G(16)$
$B_1(36)$	$A_2(10) + E_1(4) + E_4(6) + G(16)$
$B_2(36)$	$A_4(10) + E_2(4) + E_4(6) + G(16)$

0⁰₀ Transition of Acetone at 30439 cm⁻¹



Fig. 5. Diagram showing the possible $S_1(0_1) \leftarrow S_0(0_1)$ transitions between torsional tunnel components of each rotational level for the case where the splitting in the excited state is small. Only three lines can be experimentally observed in this case. The structure of each triplet is shown on the right side



Fig. 6. Tunnel splitting as a function of torsional barrier height in the ground state.

triplet splitting of the lines in the observed spectrum, $\Delta E = |\Delta E' - \Delta E''|$, is determined by the difference in torsional energy splittings in the upper and lower electronic states. Fig. 6 shows our calculation of the expected energy splitting, $\Delta E''$, as a function of the barrier height. It is found that 2.3 GHz is compatible with a barrier of about 250 cm⁻¹, as observed by microwave spectroscopy. Assuming that the splitting in the excited state is smaller than our accuracy (≈ 50 MHz) we estimate a lower limit for the barrier height (V_3) to internal rotation in the S₁ state to be around 600 cm⁻¹. A more precise estimate is deferred to the analysis of the torsional energy levels.

The observed triplet intensities obey the ratio 1:2:1, within the experimental uncertainty, which is in agreement with predictions based upon spin statistics, see fig. 5.

3.2. Refined analysis of the 0^0_0 band

The torsional energy levels and wavefunctions are well known for the case of two-top molecules [25,21]. The product of single-top wavefunctions serves as the basis for the total two-top Hamiltonian (using the principal axis method (PAM)):

$$U_{\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}}^{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}}(\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}) = U_{\sigma_{1}}^{\nu_{1}}(\alpha_{1})U_{\sigma_{2}}^{\nu_{2}}(\alpha_{2}), \qquad (5)$$

where

$$U_{\sigma}^{\nu}(\alpha) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} A_{k}^{(\nu)} \exp[i(3k+\sigma)\alpha] .$$
 (6)

The label v is called the principal torsional quantum number since it becomes the quantum number for the limiting harmonic oscillator $(V_3 \rightarrow \infty)$. The index $\sigma=0,\pm 1$ gives the symmetries of the wavefunctions and thus distinguishes between the tunnelling sublevels. Each eigenstate of the two-top torsional Hamiltonian is characterized by the four quantum numbers $v_1, \sigma_1, v_2, \sigma_2$. In a somewhat simplified picture, v_1 and v_2 denote the number of torsional quanta present in each top while the various combinations of σ_1, σ_2 provide the overall nine-fold degeneracy of the torsional level.

The out-of-plane wagging mode (v_{23}, b_1) can be viewed as the motion of the central C atom with respect to the plane spanned by the two methyl groups and the O atom. Considering first the C=O out-ofplane wagging we have calculated the double well potential fitting our observed spectra using the tables of Coon et al. [26]. The results are shown in fig. 7. In C_{2v}, the symmetry of the vibrational levels alternates between A₁ and B₁, and thus only half of the levels



Fig. 7. The calculated out-of-plane wagging potential of S₁. The parameters used in the calculation are $\rho = 1.20$, B = 6.0, $\nu_0 = 224.6$ cm⁻¹ (see ref. [26]). Only odd levels (A₂ in G₃₆) can be accessed for zero-torsion, the forbidden ones are shown by dashed lines. The splitting of the lowest level is much smaller than 1 GHz and amounts to 1, 17 and 270 GHz, respectively, for the next higher ones. The position of levels is given in wavenumbers.

will be observed in the excitation spectrum. For a reasonable potential model the barrier height obtained -1348 cm^{-1} - was made to fit the transition at 333 cm⁻¹ (line 5, see table 2). It leads to a splitting of about 1 GHz, due to tunnelling, between the two levels v=2 and v=3 (1⁺ and 1⁻). The lowest doublet splits \ll 1 GHz.

Since the energy, $E(23^1)$, of the C=O out-of-plane wagging is only some GHz above the value of $E(23^0)$, we propose to consider its coupling with the torsional motion. In turn, this coupling will allow transitions to some of the forbidden levels, as required by the appearance of quintets in higher members of the torsional progression. The wagging motion will be described by the distance coordinate, q_w . As follows from the character table of G_{36} , a translation along this direction belongs to the A_2 irreducible representation, as does the gearing motion of the two CH₃ groups. In order to couple the two kinds of motion we look for a potential term – of A_1 symmetry – that contains naturally the coordinates of both. The operator, to be added to our Hamiltonian (4),

$$V_{\text{coupl}} = C_{\text{coupl}} q_{\text{w}} \sin(3\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_2) , \qquad (7)$$

offers itself since both factors are of A2 symmetry with $A_2 \otimes A_2 = A_1$. Note that positive torsional angles α_1 and α_2 are defined as clockwise rotation looked from the central C atom; i.e. the minus sign in the argument of the sine factor corresponds to the gearing operation of A₂ symmetry. Having thus established the simplest potential coupling term between wagging and torsion, we next consider qualitatively its influence on the possible transitions between energy levels. Without this coupling the excitation leads - for the 0_0^0 band – from the S₀ ground state to the electronically excited state with one vibrational quantum in the wagging mode, 231. For instance, the initial quadruplet of states (A_1, E_1, E_3, G) is excited to the quadruplet $(A_2, E_1, E_4, G) = A_2 \otimes (A_1, E_1, E_3, G)$. (Note that the transition dipole operator is of A₂ symmetry.) This would apply to the 0_1 as well as to the allowed excitations of 2_1 and 2_3 levels.

With the coupling term (7), two members of the quadruplet of excited states just discussed, (A₂, E₁, E₄, G), appear to be coupled to two members of the slightly lower quadruplet of states, (A₁, E₁, E₃, G) of 23⁰, i.e. E₁ with E₁ and G with G. Note that this coupling leads to five energetically distinct transitions, $A_1 \rightarrow A_2(23^1)$, $E_1 \rightarrow E_1(23^1)$ and $E_3 \rightarrow E_4(23^1)$, $E_1 \rightarrow E_1(23^0)$, $G \rightarrow G(23^1)$ and $G \rightarrow G(23^0)$. The resulting pattern is thus a quintet, as it is observed for most bands but *not* for the 0⁰₀ band.

In the following we will show why the proposed coupling vanishes for the 0_0^0 transition so that the spectrum possesses a triplet structure while the "direct" and "coupled" transition strength in the spectra of bands 2, 4 and 6 display quintet structure, whose components are of similar intensity. A transition is called "direct", if the final level (with torsional splitting being neglected) has B₁ symmetry, in C_{2v}, and can thus be reached through a B₂ transition needs eq. (7) to become allowed. The matrix element of the coupling may be expressed as

$$\langle \Psi_{\nu=0}(q_{\mathsf{w}}) U_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}^{00}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) | q_{\mathsf{w}} \sin(3\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_2) | \Psi_{\nu=1}(q_{\mathsf{w}}) U_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}^{00}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \rangle ,$$
(8)

where $\Psi_{v=0}(q_w)$ and $\Psi_{v=1}(q_w)$ are the part of the bra and ket describing the lowest and first excited wagging states split by the tunneling motion and $U(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ – the torsional part (see eq. (5)). The coupling between v=0 and v=1 wagging levels is realized by the factor q_w in V_{coupl} . The torsional coupling takes place through the sine term of A₂ symmetry. If the sine term is written as a difference of two exponentials, it becomes evident that raising the degree of torsional excitation of one internal top is accompanied by lowering the torsional excitation of the other one. For the torsional ground state, this operation necessarily yields zero, i.e. the observed triplet structure of the 0⁰₀ band agrees with our analysis even after introduction of V_{coupl} , eq. (7).

3.3. Analysis of the torsional progression

Table 5 lists the lowest torsional states with their tunneling symmetries in G_{36} . In the middle column, those states are indicated which cannot be excited from the ground state of acetone (A₁ vibronic symmetry, A₁, E₁, E₃, G torsional symmetries).

The progression bands at 0, 172.5, 314.5, 346.3, 474.3, 510 and 640.8 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the antigearing and gearing torsional modes v_{12} and v_{24} (see table 6). The torsional energy levels calculated by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian (eq. (4)) are depicted in fig. 8 together with the experimental energies. The kinetic energy coefficient F' was taken as a parameter along with the potential parameters V_3 , V_6 , V_+ and V_- , since only qualitative information is available on the excited state geometry. The best calculation resulted in an agreement within 2 cm⁻¹ between the experimental and calculated frequencies of the lines 2, 4, 6. Each of the lines 2, 4, 6 has a quintet fine structure which is constant for all the rotational lines within a given band. The remaining discrepancy, and the fact that the bands display quintet fine structure (rather than triplet, like in the 0^0_0 band) may be accounted for by introducing a coupling between the torsional motion and other vibrational modes. Thus, to the torsional Hamiltonian (eq. (4)) the coupling term, V_{coupl} , eq. (7), will be added.

For instance, we have $(A_1, E_1, E_3, G) = A_2 \otimes (A_2, E_1, E_4, G)$ yielding an additional doublet of E_1 and G symmetry as final levels if the excitation of the 1_2 torsional level is accompanied by excitation of the wag-

N_k^{a}	v+	<i>t</i> , –	G(C _{2v})	Selection rules	G(G ₃₆) ^b		
				based on C_{2v}	$\Gamma_{tors} \otimes A_1(wag)$	$\Gamma_{tors} \otimes A_2(wag)$	
01	0	0	A ₁		$A_1 + E_1 + E_3 + G$	$(A_2 + E_1 + E_4 + G)$	
11	1	0	A_2	forbidden	$A_3 + E_2 + E_3 + G$	$(A_4 + E_2 + E_4 + G)$	
12	0	1	\mathbf{B}_1		$A_2 + E_1 + E_4 + G$	$(A_1 + E_1 + E_3 + G)$	
21	(2	0)	\mathbf{A}_1		$A_1 + E + E_3 + G$	$(A_2 + E_1 + E_4 + G)$	
22	(1	1)	B ₂	forbidden	$A_4 + E_2 + E_4 + G$	$(A_3 + E_2 + E_3 + G)$	
23	(0	2)	A ₁		$A_1 + E_1 + E_3 + G$	$(A_2 + E_1 + E_4 + G)$	
31	(3	0)	A ₂	forbidden	$A_3 + E_2 + E_3 + G$	$(A_4 + E_2 + E_4 + G)$	
32	(2	1)	B		$A_2 + E_1 + E_4 + G$	$(A_1 + E_1 + E_3 + G)$	
33	(1	2)	A ₂	forbidden	$A_3 + E_2 + E_3 + G$	$(A_4 + E_2 + E_4 + G)$	
34	(0	3)	\mathbf{B}_{1}		$A_2 + E_1 + E_4 + G$	$(A_1 + E_1 + E_3 + G)$	
41	(4	0)	\mathbf{A}_1		$A_1 + E_1 + E_3 + G$	$(A_2 + E_1 + E_4 + G)$	
4 ₂	(3	1)	B_2	forbidden	$A_4 + E_2 + E_4 + G$	$(A_3 + E_2 + E_3 + G)$	
43	(2	2)	A_1		$A_1 + E_1 + E_3 + G$	$(A_2 + E_1 + E_4 + G)$	
44	(1	3)	B_2	forbidden	$A_4 + E_2 + E_4 + G$	$(A_3 + E_2 + E_3 + G)$	
45	(0	4)	A ₁		$A_1 + E_1 + E_3 + G$	$(A_2 + E_1 + E_4 + G)$	

Table 5		
Symmetries of lower torsional vibrational states of acetone in the S	S ₁ excited state with their	tunneling sublevels

^{a)} $N=v^++v^-$ (number of torsional quanta). The group of levels having the same N is defined as a torsional polyad. Polyad N consists of N+1 levels labeled by k. The v^+ , v^- designation here refers to the gearing and antigearing torsional fundamentals but not to the actual distribution of energy between the two tops. Starting from the second polyad onwards the v^+ , v^- designation is put into parenthesis since the actual motion described by the eigenfunctions has a more complicated character.

^{b)} The left term is the representation of the torsional states coupled to the A₁ component of the out-of-plane wagging tunnel-split component, the right hand term (in parentheses) is the representation of the same torsional state coupled to the A₂ component.

Table 6 Comparison of experimental and calculated torsional frequencies ^{a)}

	N_k	Calculated b)	Experimental °)	⊿ ^d)		
		(line #)	A-G	E-G		
	1	155.5	not observed	0.255	0.255	
	12	173.9	172.5 (2)	0.328	0.328	
	21	314.7	314.5 (4)	2.3	2.3	
	22	324.0	not observed	5.0	5.0	
	23	344.7	346.3 (6)	2.417	2.390	
	31	471.2	not observed	54.1	10.4	
	32	474.0	474.3 (8)	21.7	64.5	
	33	489.0	not observed	16.9	17.7	
	34	511.9	510 (10)	5.28	5.44	
	4	597.0	598 (12,12a)	0.796	510	
	42	614.0	not observed	509	0.07	
	43	641	640.8 (14a)	13	9.9	
	44	646	not observed	26.3	28.8	
	4.	673				

a) Frequencies are in cm⁻¹; measured above the 0_0^0 band ($\nu_0 = 30439.9145$ cm⁻¹); the 0_1 is calculated to be at 164.4 cm⁻¹.

^{b)} Parameters used in calculation: $F = 5.7255 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $F' = -0.28128 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $V_3 = 832.03 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $V_6 = -60.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $V_+ = 13.46 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $V_- = -86.63 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

^{c)} The band origin is given where a fit was possible; otherwise, the estimated center of the band is given.

^{d)} Calculated maximum splitting between the A, E and G sublevels in GHz. It is noted that the degeneracy between the pairs of E levels for a given N_k level prevails and is lifted to 15 MHz only in 45. For $N_k = 1_2$, an experimental splitting $\Delta_{EG} = 0.3$ GHz has been assigned.



Fig. 8. The calculated torsional potential for the excited state and the associated energy levels. Unobserved levels are not shown on the right side.

ging mode (see fig. 9 and table 5). The effect of the very small splitting (\ll 1 GHz) between the two lowest wagging levels is that torsional sublevels of the same symmetry, i.e. G with G and E with E are coupled by the V_{coupl} term. The resulting pattern is a quintet, instead of a doublet. In our assignments we were guided by the nearly constant (\approx 3.2 GHz) distance between the two strongest lines within the quintets. Our analysis implies then that the strength of the interaction caused by the V_{coupl} term is of the order of 2 GHz.

Linear combinations of eq. (5) form the eigenfunctions of our torsional Hamiltonian. The same basis functions have been used and are discussed in ref. [27]. As an example of an excited state, the l_2 torsional level may be described with a high accuracy by the eigenfunction $(1/\sqrt{2})(|1,0\rangle - |0,1\rangle)$ where

 $|v_1, v_2\rangle$ indicates v_1 and v_2 torsional quanta in top1 and top2, respectively. Substitution of this expression into the matrix element of eq. (8) results in a nonvanishing torsional coupling: the sin $(3\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_2)$ operator working upon $(|1,0\rangle - |0,1\rangle)$ yields e.g. $(|0,1\rangle - |1,0\rangle)$ and thus a nonvanishing coupling matrix element is obtained. Within the torsional multiplet we find a wagging mixture $(23^{\circ} \text{ and } 23^{1})$ through V_{coupl} , eq. (7), yielding transitions which were forbidden before. In an analogous way the "coupled" transitions in the bands at 314.5 and 346.3 cm⁻¹ become allowed. The intensities of the "direct" and "coupled" transitions are nearly equal. This fact implies that the unperturbed eigenfunctions mix with nearly equal weights. It is the near degeneracy of the 23° and 23° levels that makes such a mixing possible. We can easily show (see ref. [28]) that if the unperturbed wagging levels are tunnel-split by 1 GHz, a coupling strength on the order of 2 GHz would be enough to bring the intensity of a "coupled" transition to about 40% of the "direct" one. A full treatment must be based on a Hamiltonian including the $V_{\rm coupl}$ term.

For the third and fourth polyads only a preliminary analysis can be presented. The band around 474 cm^{-1} (line 8) spreads over $\approx 12 cm^{-1}$, compared to ≈ 8 cm⁻¹ for the nearby lying lines 7 and 9. The prediction of an exceptionally large ($\approx 2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) torsional splitting within the 3_2 level (table 6) agrees with the large shifts ($\approx 4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) between various components found in a preliminary analysis of line 8. The band at 510 cm^{-1} (line 10) is assigned to the 3_4 level. Both 3_2 and 3_4 bands are expected to have a quintet fine structure. Line 10 exhibits higher than a triplet fine structure, whereas line 8 is much more complicated. The rotational analysis of line 8 and all other higher energy lines is complicated by the fact that different components within the same band have, at times, different rotational constants. We assign lines 12 and 12a to the 41 level. Line 12a was measured with 0.2 cm^{-1} resolution only [6]. It is a relatively broad band, similar to line 8 and can, therefore, accommodate the needed calculated $\approx 17 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ split, Δ_{EG} (see table 6). Line 14a, at 641 cm⁻¹, fits well to the assignment $23^1 + 4_3$; in table 7 it is remarked that the nature of the eigenfunctions has the symmetry of (2*antigearing+2*gearing). Note that the eigenfunction may differ from the mixture be-





Fig. 9. Level scheme for the $S_1(1_2) \leftarrow S_0(0_1)$ transition in acetone. Indicated are the "direct" transitions to the lowest wagging component and the "coupled" transitions to the higher wagging component. Also indicated are the energy splittings on the $S_1(1_2)$ level as deduced from the observed spectrum.

longing to n * antigearing + n * gearing in case that a parenthesis occurs in the last column of table 7. Up to the second polyad, however, such a characterization is rather accurate. It is evident that the analysis of these and higher levels may require introduction of more coupling terms.

3.4. Other low lying modes

In the ground state, three other low frequency modes are known (table 1): ν_8'' , the C-C-C bend at 385 cm⁻¹, ν_{23}'' , the C=O out-of-plane wagging at 484 cm⁻¹ and ν_{19}'' , the C=O in-plane-wagging at 530 cm⁻¹. For the S₁ state we offer two possible assignments below, to explain our spectra, see table 8. In both cases $\nu_8' = 373$ cm⁻¹. Further, $\nu_{23}' = 333$ cm⁻¹ and $\nu_{19}' = 621$ cm⁻¹, for the second possibility and $\nu_{19}' = 177$ cm⁻¹ and ν_{23}' undetermined, for the first and by us preferred possibility, as will discussed next in connection with the *, ** and *** progressions of table 7.

3.4.1. Single star progression

First possibility. The bands at 333.5, 487, 624, 624

and 645 cm⁻¹ (lines 5, 9, 13a, 13b and 14b, respectively) are assigned to the ν'_{19} + torsion progression. The C=O in-plane-wagging, $\nu'_{19}(A_4)$, can have an atype spectrum only if coupled with the antigearing $\nu_{12}(A_3)$ and is expected to have a quintet fine structure. Line 5 at 333 cm⁻¹ is assigned to $19^1 + 1_1$ and displays a quintet (see fig. 4b). This assignment suggests a very big change in the frequency of the C=O in-plane wagging, i.e. 177 cm⁻¹ in S₁, compared to 530 cm⁻¹ in S₀ (table 1). Lines 9, 13b, and 14b are assigned to 19^1+2_2 , 19^1+3_1 , and 19^1+3_3 , respectively. It follows from this assignment that the torsional antigearing motion possesses an intermode anharmonicity of about 20 cm⁻¹ between ν_{19} and ν_{12} .

Second possibility. The single starred progression is assigned to the C=O out-of-plane motion. Line 5 (333 cm⁻¹) is assigned to 23³, the upper tunnel-split level of the second dyade of the wagging mode. The quintet fine structure of the band with 3.2 GHz distance between the two strongest components implies that the two levels (23³ and 23²) are almost degenerate (split by less than 1 GHz). The "coupled" transition to the 23² level, however, actually is not coupled by eq. (7), because we deal here with the torsional

Table 7

Assignment of the long-wavelength bands observed in the fluorescence excitation spectrum of acetone. For line 8 onwards, assignments and analysis are less certain

Line	Energy ^a	Assignment b)		Remarks ^{c)}
#	(cm ⁻¹)	ref. [1]	this work d)	
 1	0°	ν_{23}^{0+}	231	
2	172.5	(1,0)	(0,1)	gearing (A_2)
3	hot band		not observed	
4	314.5	(2,0)	$23^{1} + (2,0)$	$2 \star antigearing (A_2)$
5	333.6	(2,0)	*	two possible assignments, see text
6	346.3	(1,1)	$23^{1} + (0,2)$	2*gearing (A ₂)
7	373	ν_{23}^{1+}	**	
8	474	(3,0)	(2,1)	$(gearing + 2*antigearing (A_2))$
9	487	(2,1)	*	
10	510	(2,1)	(0,3)	$(3*gearing (A_2))$
11	544	$\nu_{23}^{1+} + (1,0)$	**	
12	578	v_{23}^{1-}	$23^{1} + (4,0)$	$(4*antigearing (A_2))$
12a	598	f)	$23^{1} + (4,0)$	g)
13a	621		* OF ***	
13b	624	(4,0) ^{h)}	*	
14a	641		(2,2)	$(2*gearing+2*antigearing (A_2))$
14b	645	(3,1) ^{h)}	*	

^{a)} Energy above the origin of S_1 .

b) Torsional quanta are listed as (1, J) where 1 and J are the number of quanta excited in the antigearing and gearing motions respectively.

c) Symmetry species are in G₃₆.

^{d)} *, **, *** are (starting levels of) new torsional series; for their assignment see text.

^{e)} The zero-point energy level of the CO out-of-plane wagging (23^{0}) is forbidden for a dipole transition from the S₀ vibrationless level. The first overtone (23^{1}) is calculated to be 1.1 GHz above 23^{0} and is the pseudo-origin of the observed spectrum.

^{f)} Not mentioned in ref. [1], was measured with 0.2 cm^{-1} resolution in ref. [2] (see fig. 1).

^{g)} Belongs, too, to N=4 and k=1; for its large splitting, see table 6. $\Delta_{EG}=17$ cm⁻¹.

^{h)} Lines 13 and 14 consist of two nearly overlapping lines and were given one assignment in ref. [1].

Table 8

Low energy modes of acetone (cm^{-1})

	S_0	S_1		
		first possibility	second possibility	
 ν_{12} torsion (antigearing)	77	155.5	155.5	<u></u>
ν_{24} torsion (gearing)	124	172.5	172.5	
ν_8 C–C–C bend	385	373	373	
ν_{23} C=O out-of-plane wagging	484	~	333	
ν_{19} C=O in-plane-wagging	530	177.5	465.4	
wagging barrier height	-	927	1350	
effective torsional barrier	270	759	759	

ground state. We were not able to individuate an alternative coupling mechanism so far to explain the observed quintet (and therefore prefer the first possibility). For line 9, 13b and 14b the assignment becomes $23^2 + 1_2$ at 484 cm^{-1} , $23^3 + 2_1$ at 624 cm^{-1} and 23^3+2_3 at 645 cm⁻¹. In all cases, quintets are expected and found for the first two, in our preliminary analysis. These assignments (especially of lines 9 and 13b) necessitate a wagging barrier of about 1350 cm⁻¹.

3.4.2. Two stars progression

The band at 373 cm^{-1} (line 7) is assigned to the C-C-C bending, v_8 for both possibilities. The A₁ symmetry ν_8 is expected to have a triplet fine structure, just as the 0_0^0 band. The observed spectrum of line 7 has a triplet fine structure as shown in fig. 4d with a consistent 2.1 GHz splitting of each rotational line and approximately 1:2:1 relative intensities. The 200 MHz decrease from the 2.3 GHz triplet splitting in the 0⁰₀ band is four times our experimental accuracy. We argue that since the 373 cm⁻¹ band serves as a pseudo-origin for the progression of 8^1 + torsion combination bands, the torsional barrier used in the torsional energy-level calculations for the excited state must be lowered. Fig. 6 shows that a 200 MHz zerotunnel-splitting is obtained for $V_3 = 450 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, i.e. the torsional barrier is effectively lowered by nearly the amount of vibrational excitation. The physical reason for such an effect might be that a somewhat different equilibrium geometry of acetone in the 81 vibrationally excited state lowers the hindrance of the two CH₃ groups.

3.4.3. Three stars progression

Second possibility. The band at 621 cm⁻¹ (line 13a) is assigned to $\nu'_{19} + 1_1$. We were able to fit line 13a to an a-type rotational transition with $\nu_0 = 31061.6781$ cm⁻¹ ($\Delta E = 621.7636$ cm⁻¹) (see table 2). It is one of the strongest lines measured in this work and our preliminary analysis shows that establishment of the fine structure, even at this high vibrational excitation, is possible. In the first possible assignment, this *** line 13a belongs to the * series, assigned as $19^1 + 3_1$. It belongs to the same component of a polyad, 3_1 , as line 13b, with a calculated splitting of about 1 cm⁻¹.

4. Discussion

The high resolution spectra reported in this work led to the proposal of an effective barrier height for torsion – 759 cm⁻¹ in the S₁ state. The torsional barrier is much larger than that in the ground state, and leads to a much smaller frequency difference between the torsional fundamentals – the calculated separation between the (0, 1) and (1, 0) levels is 18.4 cm⁻¹, compared to 63 cm⁻¹ in the ground state. The high barrier may be related to the fact that the two rotors are somewhat closer to each other due to the bent configuration of the excited state. Internal rotational barriers are believed to reflect electronic densities in the bands adjacent to the rotor [29,30]. The n,π^* transition involves an increase in the electronic density of the C=O bond which could increase also the density in the C-C bond (by hyperconjugation), making the bond stiffer and rising the barrier. These speculations should be checked by electronic density calculations.

The inversion barrier of the S_1 state – 1348 cm⁻¹ – is much larger than that of formaldehyde (350 cm⁻¹) and acetaldehyde (541 cm⁻¹), probably reflecting the increased stabilization of the pyramidal form upon exchange of a hydrogen atom by a methyl group.

Our analysis of the low energy torsional bands makes it compulsory to include an additional potential coupling term, V_{coupl} , into the Hamiltonian. Doing that, we, nevertheless, interpret the spectra using the G₃₆ molecular symmetry group for acetone in the S₁ electronic state.

Durig and co-workers developed a general theory for molecules with two internal rotors of C_{3v} symmetry and showed how it may be utilized for several different models [31,32]. They recognized the difference of the torsional Hamiltonians between the models of C_{2v} and C_8 molecules with two equivalent tops ($C_{2v}(e)$ and $C_8(e)$ in their notation) but also pointed out their formal identity. In particular, this difference manifested itself by inclusion of more potential terms into the Hamiltonian describing the torsional motion of a molecule having $C_8(G_{18})$ symmetry. One of them, of A_1 symmetry in G_{18} , is

$$V_{33}''\sin(3\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_2) \tag{11}$$

(compare to eq. (7)). Acetone in the ground S_0 state corresponds to the $C_{2x}(e)$ model. According to the classification of Durig et al., in case the wagging tunnelling is frozen acetone in the excited S_1 state should be treated within the $C_s(e)$ model since it attains a pyramidal equilibrium geometry. That is, if we assume for a moment an infinite inversion barrier, there are two equivalent potential minima corresponding to two bent (rigid) conformations of $C_s(G_{18})$ symmetry. These minima are distinguished by a simultaneous change of the signs of the two coordinates: q_w for the wagging and $3\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_2$ for the gearing torsion. The term, eq. (11), must be included in the torsional Hamiltonian. The $C_s(e)$ model was applied to the analysis of the Raman spectra of dimethylamine where the tunnelling of the H atom through the CNC plane was thought to be frozen [21]. If we lower now the inversion barrier the tunneling motion becomes feasible and the levels split, for the two cases. Performing a tunnelling through the barrier is equivalent to adding a new symmetry operation-reflection through the CCC plane – which is absent in the G_{18} symmetry group and belongs to the A_2 irrep in G_{36} . The wavefunctions describing the wagging motion are written as symmetric and antisymmetric linear combinations of $\Psi(+q_w)$ and $\Psi(-q_w)$. A feasible tunnelling through the inversion barrier rises the symmetry of the molecule and, in our case, allows to treat the internal rotation of acetone (S_1) within G_{36} .

Thus, in our analysis we introduce a coupling between the CO out-of-plane wagging (ν_{23}) and torsional modes. The physical origin lies in the fact that for certain (α_1, α_2) configurations the inversion motion brings the molecule to a nonequivalent configuration if a simultaneous adjustment of (α_1, α_2) is not allowed. The proposed coupling term of eq. (7) leaves the Hamiltonian invariant under the operations of the G_{36} symmetry group, being a product of two terms, both antisymmetric with respect to inversion through the CCC plane.

The fact that the transitions observed are a-type, as well as the observed splitting patterns led to some reassignment of the vibrational bands observed in the excitation spectrum. Table 7 summarizes the situation. In contrast to ref. [1], we assign the first band to the upper fine structure component of the tunnel split wagging motion. Thus, it is not necessary to invoke second order perturbation theory in order to account for intensity borrowing that makes the transition allowed. As in formaldehyde, this B₁ type vibration mixes the $n\pi^*(A_2)$ state with the $n\sigma^*(B_2)$ state.

The occurrence of b-type transitions in the spectra cannot be entirely ruled out, since a full analysis is yet to be completed. B-type transitions are expected for vibrations having $A_2(C_{2v})$ symmetry. However, up to line 7, at 373 cm⁻¹, all lines exhibit pure a-type rotational transitions. It is clear from our calculations that many torsional bands are forbidden. Our successful assignment is an a posteriori support for the use of the C_{2v} molecular point group rather than C_s .

The n,π^* excitation leads to considerable changes in the frequencies of some low energy mode frequencies of acetone (table 8). Though, for the torsionvibrational combination bands we prefer the "first possibility" of table 8, the change $\nu''_{19} = 530$ $cm^{-1} \rightarrow \nu'_{19} = 177$ cm⁻¹ is discomfortingly large and should fill us with caution. Remember, however, that acetone changes its geometry for the transition $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$ which permits relatively big changes of mode frequencies. In addition, for the related acetaldehyde molecule the corresponding shift is also very large, $\nu''_{10} = 509$ cm⁻¹ $\rightarrow \nu'_{10} = 370$ cm⁻¹ [33]. In conclusion, the first possible assignment is the favoured but still tentative one.

References

- [1] J.A. Pople and J.W. Sidman, J. Chem. Phys. 27 (1957) 1270.
- [2] V.A. Job, V. Sethuraman and K.K. Innes, J. Mol. Spectry. 30 (1969) 365.
- [3] E.F. Worden Jr., Spectrochim. Acta 22 (1966) 21.
- [4] D.J. Clouthier and D.A. Ramsey, Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem. 34 (1983) 31.
- [5] M. Baba, I. Hanazaki and U. Nagashima, J. Chem. Phys. 82 (1985) 3938.
- [6] H. Zuckermann, B Schmitz and Y. Haas, J Chem. Phys. 93 (1989) 4083.
- [7] H. Zuckermann and Y. Haas, unpublished.
- [8] J.G. Philis, J.M. Berman and L. Goodman, Chem. Phys. Letters 167 (1990) 16.
- [9] P. Groner, G.A. Guirgis and J.R. Durig, J. Chem. Phys. 86 (1987) 565.
- [10] T. Shimanouchi, Tables of molecular vibrational frequencies, NSRDS-NBS 39 (1987).
- [11] P. Cossee and J.H. Schachtschneider, J. Chem. Phys. 44 (1966) 97.
- [12] W.C. Harris and I.W. Levin, J. Mol. Spectry. 43 (1972) 117.
- [13] R. McDiarmid and A. Sabljic, J. Chem. Phys. 89 (1988) 6086.
- [14] A.G. Ozkabak, J.G. Philis and L. Goodman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 7854. [Note that these authors use a different coordinate system than the one used in this paper. Their $\nu_{17}(b_2)$ corresponds to our $\nu_{24}(b_1)$.]
- [15] J.D Swalen and C.C. Costain, J. Chem. Phys. 31 (1959) 1562.
- [16] R. Nelson and L. Pierce, J. Mol Spectry. 18 (1965) 344.
- [17] R. Peter and H. Dreizler, Z. Naturforsch. A 20a (1965) 301.
- [18] J.M. Vacherand, B.P. van Eijck, J Burie and J Demaison, J. Mol. Spectry, 118 (1986) 355.

- [19] E. Cromwell, T. Trickl, Y.T. Lee and A.H. Kung, Rev. Sci. Instr. 60 (1989) 2888.
- [20] S. Gerstenkorn and P. Luc, Atlas du spectroscopie d'absorption de la molecule d'iode (CNRS, 1978).
- [21] C.C. Lin and J.D. Swalen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 31 (1959) 841.
- [22] R. Engeln, J. Reuss, D. Consalvo, J.W.I. van Bladel and A. van der Avoird, Chem. Phys. Letters 170 (1990) 206.
- [23] P.R. Bunker, Molecular symmetry and spectroscopy (Academic Press, New York, 1979)
- [24] P.R. Bunker, in: Vibrational spectra and structure, Vol. 3, ed. J.R. Durig (Dekker, New York, 1975).
- [25] R.J. Myers and E.B. Wilson Jr., J. Chem. Phys. 33 (1960) 186.
- [26] J.B. Coon, N.W. Naugle and R.D. McKenzie, J. Mol. Spectry. 20 (1966) 107.

- [27] R. Engeln, J. Reuss, D. Consalvo, J.W.I. van Bladel, A. van der Avoird and V. Pavlov-Verevkin, Chem. Phys. 144 (1990) 81.
- [28] C.H. Townes and A.L. Shawlow, Microwave spectroscopy (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955) ch. 2, p. 38.
- [29] X.Q. Tan, W.A. Majewski, D.F. Plusquellic and D.W. Pratt, J. Chem. Phys. 94 (1991) 7721.
- [30] X.Q. Tan, D.J. Clouthier, R.H. Judge, D.F. Plusquellic, J.L. Tomer and D.W. Pratt, J. Chem. Phys. 95 (1991) 7862.
- [31] P. Groner and J.R. Durig, J. Chem. Phys. 66 (1977) 1855.
- [32] P. Groner, J.F. Sullivan and J.R. Durig, in: Vibrational spectra and structure, Vol. 9, ed. J.R. Durig (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1981).
- [33] M. Noble and E.K.C. Lee, J. Chem. Phys. 81 (1984) 1632.